# Oxysterol Formation in Spray-Dried Egg Processed and Stored under Various Conditions: Prevention and Relationship with Other Quality Parameters

Francesc Guardiola,\* Rafael Codony, Magda Rafecas, Anna Grau, Anna Jordán, and Josep Boatella

Nutrition and Food Science Unit, Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Barcelona, Avenida Joan XXIII s/n, 08028 Barcelona, Spain

A factorial arrangement was planned to study the influence of various factors (spray-drying temperature, antioxidant type, antioxidant concentration, packing conditions, and storage time) on various responses (oxysterol formation, fat UV absorptions, polyunsaturated fatty acid loss, color loss due to oxidation of carotenoids, and Maillard browning intensity) in egg powder. Positive correlations were found between oxysterol formation and the other responses. Use of low spray-drying temperatures prevented oxidation during processing and storage of egg powder. Vacuum packing and dark conditions were highly effective in preventing oxidation during storage. Propyl gallate seemed to be slightly effective in preventing polyunsaturated fatty acid loss, oxysterol formation, and color loss during processing and storage, whereas synergistic combination of ascorbyl palmitate plus dl- $\alpha$ -tocopherol seemed to show a slight prooxidant effect in terms of fatty acid and cholesterol oxidation. Propyl gallate concentrations of 100 and 200 ppm seemed to be optimal to prevent, respectively, polyunsaturated fatty acid loss and oxysterol formation and color loss. Propyl gallate seemed to be more effective under highly oxidative conditions.

**Keywords:** Egg powder; spray-drying temperature; antioxidants; storage conditions; oxysterols; fatty acid oxidation; polyunsaturated fatty acid loss; Maillard browning

# INTRODUCTION

The method used in obtaining dried egg products, be it pan-drying, foam-drying, freeze-drying, or spraydrying, determines their quality (Bergquist, 1964, 1977). Freeze-drying has been used only in research due to its high cost. It is, however, the system that supplies the best quality because it is easy to reconstitute the dried egg and deterioration during the deshydration process is negligible. Thus, oxysterols (OS) are not detected in freeze-dried egg (Fontana et al., 1992; Tsai and Hudson, 1984), or their presence is minimal (Morgan and Armstrong, 1989; Nourooz-Zadeh and Appelqvist, 1987). Spray-drying is the most frequently used method in preparing powdered eggs. OS in spray-dried egg have been widely reported (Emanuel et al., 1991; Fontana et al., 1992, 1993; Guardiola et al., 1995b; Lai et al., 1995a,b; Nourooz-Zadeh, 1990; Sugino et al., 1986), and their formation is much greater in direct fired dryers than in indirect ones (Lai et al., 1995b; Missler et al., 1985; Morgan and Armstrong, 1987, 1992; Nourooz-Zadeh and Appelqvist, 1987; Tsai and Hudson, 1985). This has been attributed to the formation of nitrogen oxides (NO, NO<sub>2</sub>) in air heated directly by a natural gas flame (Lai et al., 1995b; Missler et al., 1985; Morgan and Armstrong, 1987, 1992; Tsai and Hudson, 1985). Morgan and Arsmtrong (1987) showed that addition of N<sub>2</sub>O to an indirect heating atomizer increased OS content in dried egg yolk, since N<sub>2</sub>O decomposes to NO and NO<sub>2</sub> at high temperatures. In addition, Lai et al. (1995b) observed that OS formation during the storage of egg powder was greater in samples dried by direct heating than in samples dried by inderect heating. Other factors that affect OS formation are inlet and outlet temperatures (Morgan and Armstrong, 1987, 1992; Tsai and Hudson, 1985; Guardiola et al., 1995b) as well, it would seem, as the type of atomizer (box- or cyclone-type) and the product residence time inside the spray-drying chamber (Tsai and Hudson, 1985).

Fatty acids (FA) also undergo considerable oxidation during spray-drying of egg (Guardiola et al., 1995a); therefore, the nutritive value of egg powder decreases owing to oxidation of essential fatty acids. In addition, for oxidized FA (Chow, 1992; Kubow, 1990) and OS (Guardiola et al., 1996; Smith and Johnson, 1989) several biological effects have been reported: cytotoxicity, atherogenesis, mutagenesis, carcinogenesis, changes in cellular membrane properties, inhibition of 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl coenzyme A reductase activity, etc.

This paper examines the influence of spray-drying temperature, type and concentration of antioxidant, and duration and conditions of storage on OS formation, FA oxidation, and color change due to oxidation of carotenoids and Maillard browning intensity (MBI) in egg powder. FA oxidation was studied by examining fat UV absorptions at the characteristic maxima of FA oxidation products (232, 270, and 303 nm) and polyunsaturated fatty acid (PUFA) loss. Possible correlations between OS formation and the other quality parameters were studied.

Two types of antioxidant were studied: one was the synergistic combination of ascorbyl palmitate (AP) and dl- $\alpha$ -tocopherol ( $\alpha$ -T), and the other was propyl gallate (PG). AP and  $\alpha$ -T are, respectively, an oxygen scavenger and a radical interceptor, and both are without toxicity problems and permitted in egg products by the European Union (European Parliament, 1995). Tocopherols are highly effective in preventing carotenoid oxidation but they show moderate thermostability (Dall'Aglio and Nicoli, 1992; Madhavi et al., 1996). However, Park and

<sup>\*</sup> Author to whom correspondence should be addressed (telephone 34-3-4024514 or 34-3-4024508; fax 34-3-4021886 or 34-3-4021896; e-mail fibarz@far.ub.es).

	Table 1.	Determinations	and Definitions	of Res	ponses	Studied
--	----------	----------------	-----------------	--------	--------	---------

determinations (units of measure)	frozen egg (n=1)	produced egg powder (n = 24)	5-month egg powder (n = 48)	10-month egg powder (n = 48)	definition of responses
moisture <sup>a</sup> (% water)	D <sup>b</sup>	D	D	D	moisture of egg powder
aw	$\overline{ND}^{b}$	D	D	D	aw of egg powder
fat UV absorption at 232, 270, and 303 nm (specific absorbances: K322, K270, and K303) <sup>a,c</sup>	D	D	D	D	$\Delta K_{\lambda} = K_{\lambda}$ of egg powder $-K_{\lambda}$ of frozen egg <sup>e</sup>
fatty acid composition (compensated area normalization in parts per thousand) <sup>d</sup>	D	ND	ND	D	PUFA loss (C20:4 <i>n</i> -6 and C22:6 <i>n</i> -3) = PUFA in frozen egg – PUFA in egg powder <sup>b</sup>
OS content (ppm in solids) <sup>b,e</sup>	D	D	D	D	$\Delta OS (\Delta \alpha$ -CE, $\Delta 7\beta$ -HC, $\Delta CT$ , $\Delta 7$ -KC, and $\Delta 25$ -HC) = OS in egg powder – OS in frozen egg <sup>b</sup>
color (ppm of $\beta$ -carotene in solids) <sup>a</sup>	D	D	D	D	color loss = color of frozen egg – color of egg powder
Maillard browning intensity (absorbance at 420 nm/g of solids) <sup>a</sup>	ND	D	D	D	Maillard browning intensity (MBI) of egg powder

1.1

<sup>*a*</sup> Determined as described by Guardiola et al. (1995b). <sup>*b*</sup> D, determined; ND, not determined; PUFA, polyunsaturated fatty acids; OS, oxysterols;  $\alpha$ -CE, cholesterol 5 $\alpha$ ,6 $\alpha$ -epoxide; 7 $\beta$ -HC, 7 $\beta$ -hydroxycholesterol; CT, cholestanetriol; 7-KC, 7-ketocholesterol; 25-HC, 25-hydroxycholesterol. <sup>*c*</sup> Specific absorbances were calculated by applying the formula  $K_{\lambda} = E^{1\%}_{1cm \lambda} = A_{\lambda}/(CW)$ , where  $A_{\lambda}$  is the absorbance at  $\lambda$ , *C* is the concentration of the cyclohexanic solution expressed as grams of fat/100 mL, and *W* is the width of the spectrophotometer cell in cm. <sup>*d*</sup> Determined as described by Guardiola et al. (1994b). <sup>*e*</sup> Determined as described by Guardiola et al. (1995c).

Addis (1986) showed that combination of AP (500 ppm) and  $\alpha$ -T (100 ppm) prevented the formation of OS in tallow which had been heated at 135 °C for 70 h. In addition, AP and synergistic mixtures with tocopherols are highly effective in protecting deep-frying fats and oils (Gordon and Kouřimská, 1995a,b; Madhavi et al., 1996). Among synthetic radical terminators, gallates are less toxic and thermostable. However, PG is stable up to 190 °C (Dziezak, 1986; Madhavi et al., 1996), which is more than enough considering that the maximun temperature reached by egg powder particles inside the spray-dryer chamber was 140 °C (maximum outlet temperature).

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Reagents and Standards.** AP (>99%) was obtained from Fluka Chemie AG (Buchs, Switzerland).  $\alpha$ -T (95%) and PG (>99%) were purchased from Sigma Chemical Co. (St. Louis, MO). Glyceryl monostearate was supplied by Henkel KGaA (Düsseldorf, Germany). Standards used for OS determination were as follows: cholesterol 5 $\alpha$ ,6 $\alpha$ -epoxide ( $\alpha$ -CE), 7 $\beta$ -hydroxycholesterol (7 $\beta$ -HC), cholestanetriol (CT), 7-ketocholesterol (7 KC), 25-hydroxycholesterol (25-HC), and 19-hydroxycholesterol from Sigma. All standards were weighed, to an accuracy of 0.01 mg, and were made up as ethyl acetate solutions. The remaining reagents and standards have been described previously by Guardiola et al. (1995b).

**Experimental Design.** A  $2 \times 2 \times 3 \times 2 \times 3$  factorial arrangement was planned to study the influence of the following factors, spray-drying temperature (2), antioxidant type (2), antioxidant concentration (3), packing conditions (2), and storage time (3), on the following responses, moisture, water activity ( $a_W$ ), increase in fat UV absorptions, PUFA loss, OS formation, color loss due to oxidation of carotenoids, and MBI in egg powder (Table 1). This arrangement was conducted twice.

**Sample Preparation.** Frozen pasteurized egg stored at -20 °C for 1 month was spray-dried to obtain egg powder samples. A 12 kg container of frozen egg was thawed and homogenized for 2 min, at 20 000 rpm, with an Ystral electric drive 10/20 3000 homogenizer (Liverpool, U.K.), and then an aliquot was taken to determine the parameters defined in Table 1 and cholesterol content, as reference values for frozen egg. The rest of the thawed egg was divided into 12 parts, in which the antioxidant was added at the corresponding concentration. Each part was assigned an order number at random and then stored at -20 °C until spray-drying.

For the first replicate of the factorial design, half of each part (500 g) was spray-dried in accordance with the assigned order number. Then, for the second replicate, the 500 g remaining was spray-dried following the same order.

Just before spray-drying, the egg was homogenized again for 30 s at 20 000 rpm and diluted by adding 25% of distilled water to facilitate the spray-dryer feeding. A diagram of this sample preparation is shown in Figure 1.

Thus, two batches were obtained for each of the 12 spraydrying conditions assayed in the factorial arrangement (Figure 1). Each batch was divided into five parts: one was used to determine responses in freshly produced egg powder; two were non-vacuum-packed and stored at room temperature for 5 and 10 months, respectively; and the remaining two were vacuumpacked, wrapped in aluminum foil, and stored at room temperature for 5 and 10 months, respectively.

Addition of Antioxidants. Two types of antioxidant were added: one was the synergistic combination of AP and  $\alpha$ -T, and the other was PG. The antioxidants were added at three concentrations: 0, 100, and 200 ppm in liquid egg (for AP +  $\alpha$ -T: 50 + 50 and 100 + 100). PG and  $\alpha$ -T were added from solutions in ethanol. Solutions were prepared in appropriate concentrations so that 1 mL of solution added to 100 mL of liquid egg produced the desired final concentration. AP was added in the same way from a glyceryl monostearate emulsion.

Spray-Drying Conditions. All egg samples were processed in a cyclone-type spray-dryer (Spray-Drying Unit Type Minor 53, Niro Atomizer, Copenhagen, Denmark) equipped with an electric heater, with a feed rate of 10 mL/min, an air pressure of 6 kg/cm<sup>2</sup>, and an egg powder residence time in the spray-dryer < 2.5 min. Inlet and outlet temperatures were fixed simultaneously by controlling the air flow through the system. The two following temperature conditions were assayed: TA, inlet = 170 °C and outlet = 117 °C; and TB, inlet = 225 °C and outlet = 140 °C. These two conditions are within the range of temperatures usually applied in experimental studies of egg spray-drying (Morgan and Armstrong, 1987, 1992; Lai et al., 1995b). However, commercially, the current tendency is to use the lowest temperature possible, and so outlet temperatures between 60 and 70 °C are usual (Bergquist, 1964, 1977; Tsai and Hudson, 1985).

**Packing Procedure.** Samples were vacuum- or non-vacuum-packed in 20  $\times$  20 cm polypropylene five-layer film barrier bags, using a multivac machine (Wolfertschwenden, Germany). The vacuum-packed samples were wrapped in aluminum foil. Vacuum-packed and non-vacuum-packed samples were then set in groups of five and again, respectively, vacuum-packed or simply sealed in 32  $\times$  28 cm polypropylene five-layer film barrier bags.



Vacuum packed and

Non-vacuum packed

Vacuum packed and

Non-vacuum packed

and light exposed

non-light exposed

and light exposed

10 months

5 months

(initial point)

0 months

non-light exposed

on Correlation Coefficients and Signif	ation Coefficients and Signif	fficients and Signif	d Signif	iican	ce Levels (n C20:4n-6	1 = 120aa C22:6 $n$ -3						color		
1 <sub>W</sub> $\Delta K_{232}$	ΔK <sub>232</sub>		$\Delta K_{270}$	$\Delta K_{303}$	loss <sup>b</sup>	loss <sup>b</sup>	Δα-CE	$\Delta 7\beta$ -HC	ACT	A7-KC	Δ25-HC	loss	MBI	
5  0.6505  0.17 $0000^{d} P = 0.0000  NS^{e}$	6505  0.17 = 0.0000 NS <sup>e</sup>	0.17 NS <sup>e</sup>	15	0.1350 NS	0.3412 P = 0.0176	0.3653 P = 0.0107	0.6990 P = 0.0000	0.7215 P = 0.0000	0.7803 P = 0.0000	0.6681 P = 0.0000	0.6738 P = 0.0000	0.7040 P = 0.0000	-0.0630 NS	moisture
0 0.6651 0.189	<b>5651 0.18</b> 9	0.189	17	0.1452	0.4148	0.4241	0.7145	0.7268	0.7915	0.6979	0.6789	0.7348	-0.0915	aw
P = 0.0000 P = 0	= 0.0000 P $= 0$	$\mathbf{P} = 0$	0.0380	NS	P = 0.0034	P = 0.0027	P = 0.0000	NS						
1.0000 0.760	0000 0.760	0.760	6	0.7090	0.6999	0.7557	0.8238	0.7835	0.7859	0.7696	0.6754	0.7072	0.1566	$\Delta \mathrm{K}_{232}$
P = 0	Р = 0 1.000	г = 0 1 000	0000.0	P = 0.0000	P = 0.0000	P = 0.0000	P = 0.0000	P = 0.0000 0 4180	P = 0.0000	P = 0.0000	P = 0.0000 0.3397	P = 0.0000	NS 0.2533	AK 370
			2	P = 0.0000	P = 0.0000	P = 0.0000	P = 0.0000	P = 0.0000	P = 0.0000	P = 0.0000	P = 0.0001	P = 0.0037	P = 0.0053	0.7
				1.0000	0.6054	0.6816	0.4397	0.3730	0.3229	0.3646	0.3068	0.1967	0.2847	$\Delta \mathrm{K}_{303}$
					P = 0.0000	P = 0.0000	P = 0.0000	P = 0.0000	P = 0.0002	P = 0.0000	P = 0.0007	P = 0.0313	P = 0.0016	
					1.0000	0.9097	0.7253	0.7470	0.6110	0.5880	0.5506	0.5579	0.0470	C20:4n-6
						P = 0.0000	P = 0.0000	P = 0.0000	P = 0.0000	P = 0.0000	P = 0.0001	P = 0.0000	NS	loss
						1.0000	0.7711	0.7645	0.6466	0.6127	0.5911	0.5781	0.0671	C22:6n-3
							P = 0.0000	NS	loss					
							1.0000	0.9550	0.9279	0.9254	0.8820	0.8031	0.3077	Δα-CE
								P = 0.0000	P = 0.0006					
								1.0000	0.9135	0.8899	0.9033	0.8067	0.3303	$\Delta 7\beta$ -HC
									P = 0.0000	P = 0.0000	P = 0.0000	P = 0.0000	P = 0.0002	
									1.0000	0.9219	0.8959	0.8030	0.1849	$\Delta CT$
										P = 0.0000	P = 0.0000	P = 0.0000	P = 0.0432	
										1.0000	0.8455	0.7999	0.2216	Δ7-KC
											P = 0.0000	P = 0.0000	P = 0.0150	
											1.0000	0.7356	0.3052	$\Delta 25$ -HC
												P = 0.0000	P = 0.0007	
												1.0000	0.0920	color loss
													NS	
													1.0000	MBI

<sup>a</sup> See Table 1 for abbreviations. <sup>b</sup> n = 48. <sup>c</sup> Pearson correlation coefficient. <sup>d</sup> Significance level (P value). <sup>e</sup> Nonsignificant.

**Methods.** Moisture, fat UV absorptions, color, and MBI were determined as previously described by Guardiola et al. (1995b). FA composition was determined as previously described by Guardiola et al. (1994b, 1995a). OS determination was carried out following the method proposed by Guardiola et al. (1995c). Cholesterol was determined as previously described by Guardiola et al. (1994a).  $a_W$  was determined using a Novasina Thermoconstanter Humidat T-2 (Pfäffikon, Switzerland).

**Statistics.** Pearson coefficients were used to examine possible linear correlations between responses. P values  $\leq$  0.05 were considered significant.

To determine whether any significant effects were produced by the studied factors (spray-drying temperature, antioxidant type, antioxidant concentration, storage time, and packing conditions) on the responses, three multifactor ANOVA (MANO-VA) were performed. The first MANOVA (n = 120) was performed considering storage time and packing conditions as a single factor. The second MANOVA (n = 96, results from initial point were excluded) was performed considering storage time and packing conditions as separate factors. The third MANOVA (n = 48) was applied to examine the influence of spray-drying temperature, antioxidant type, antioxidant concentration, and packing conditions on PUFA losses in samples stored for 10 months. In all cases, interactions higher than order 2 were ignored and P values  $\leq 0.05$  were considered significant.

Multiple-regression equations were calculated to describe the influence of quantitative factors (spray-drying temperature, antioxidant concentration, and storage time) on the responses. A stepwise variable selection procedure was used to select the factors and their interactions that significantly influenced ( $P \leq 0.10$ ) each response. Regression equations present the following quadratic polynomial equation:

$$Y = B_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{j=3} B_i X_i + \sum_{i=1}^{j=3} \sum_{j=1}^{j=3} B_{ij} X_i X_j$$
(1)

*Y* is the response studied;  $B_0$ ,  $B_i$ , and  $B_{ij}$  are the regression coefficients; and  $X_i$  and  $X_j$  are the quantitative factors. Prior to calculation, orthogonalization of quantitative factors was carried out by reducing and centering their values to estimate linear and quadratic effects independently (Peng, 1967). Reduced and centered values for factors were as follows: outlet temperature ( $X_1$ ), 117 °C = -1 and 140 °C = 1; antioxidant concentration ( $X_2$ ), 0 ppm = -1, 100 ppm = 0, and 200 ppm = 1; and storage time ( $X_3$ ), 0 months = -1, 5 months = 0, and 10 months = 1.

As our design, in addition to the quantitative factors, incorporates two qualitative factors at two levels (antioxidant type and packing conditions), four regression equations were calculated for each response corresponding to the following combinations: (1) AP and  $\alpha$ -T with no vacuum packing and light exposure; (2) PG with no vacuum packing and light exposure; (3) AP and  $\alpha$ -T with vacuum packing and without light exposure; (4) PG with vacuum packing and without light exposure. As only those samples stored for 10 months were analyzed for PUFA loss, storage time could not be used to fit the equations.

Outlet temperature was used to calculate regression equations and to plot graphs, since this temperature is the maximum temperature reached by egg powder particles.

Influence of Antioxidant Addition on Spectrophotometric Readings. PG shows absorption maxima at 231 and 273 nm and the combination of AP and  $\alpha$ -T at 209, 223, 245, and 285 nm. Methanolic solutions containing 100 and 200 ppm of antioxidants were prepared. Each methanolic solution (3.5 mL) was submitted to the entire analytical process for specific absorbance determination ( $K_{232}$ ,  $K_{270}$ , and  $K_{303}$ ). Final extracts of PG solutions showed very slight absorption at 232 nm > 270 nm > 303 nm. Final extracts of AP and  $\alpha$ -T solutions showed slightly higher absorption at 232, 270, and 303 nm. These results may explain the effect of antioxidant concentration on  $\Delta K_{232}$ ,  $\Delta K_{270}$ , and  $\Delta K_{303}$ .



**Figure 2.** Influence of spray-drying temperature and storage time on moisture and  $a_W$ : (- - -) TA (170–117 °C); (- -) TB (225–140 °C).

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Results of moisture, UV fat absorptions, fatty acid composition, cholesterol and oxysterol content, and color from starting frozen egg did not differ from other frozen egg samples that were anlyzed (data not shown).

Table 2 shows a high correlation between several of the studied responses. Particularly, note the very high correlation between formation of different OS determined and the other studied responses, with the exceptions of MBI,  $\Delta K_{270}$ , and  $\Delta K_{303}$ . Color loss and  $\Delta K_{232}$  were the oxidative parameters that correlated most highly with OS formation. Correlation between formation of different OS was very strong, which indicates a similar pattern of accumulation. As expected, correlation was high between moisture and  $a_{\rm W}$ , between  $\Delta K_{270}$ and  $\Delta K_{303}$ , and between and C20:4*n*-6 and C22:6*n*-3 loss, which allowed these responses to be grouped when influence of factors were studied. Highest correlations of  $\Delta K_{270}$  and  $\Delta K_{303}$  were with C20:4*n*-6 and C22:6*n*-3 loss. Only correlations between  $\Delta K_{270}$  and moisture; between  $\Delta K_{303}$  and moisture and  $a_W$ ; and between MBI moisture,  $a_W$ ,  $\Delta K_{232}$ , C20:4*n*-6, C22:6*n*-3, and color loss were not significant.

Table 3 shows least-squares means for responses as influenced by factors and P values for factors and their interactions that have a significant effect on responses. Results of this table were obtained from MANOVA (n = 120), considering storage time and packing conditions as a single factor. Least-squares means as influenced by interactions between factors are not shown in Table 3 to facilitate the understanding of results, since there are so many and a lot of them are without relevance. Table 4 shows P values for factors and their interactions that have a significant effect on responses. These P values were obtained from MANOVA (n = 96, results from initial point were excluded), considering storage time and packing conditions as separate factors. Least-squares means from this MANOVA are not shown.

**Moisture and**  $a_{W}$ . Storage time, packing conditions, and the interaction of the two influenced moisture and  $a_{W}$  (Tables 3 and 4). Increase in these responses over time was clearly greater in non-vacuum-packed samples (Table 3). As Figure 2 shows (data not shown in Table 3), spray-drying temperature and its interaction with

Table 3. Least-Squares Grand Mean (Global Mean) and Least-Squares Means for Responses As Influenced by Factors [*P* Values for Factors and Their Interactions That Have a Significant Effect on Responses Were Obtained from MANOVA (n = 120), Considering Storage Time and Packing Conditions as a Single Factor]

						egg	g powder						
	global	spray- temp (	drying $n = 60$ )	antioxi (n	dant type = 60)	ant	ioxidant	t concn		storag	e time a	nd packi	ng
	mean	(170-117	(225-140	AP +	5.0	<u> </u>		- 40)				(n - 24)	
responses <sup>a</sup>	(n = 120)	°C) <i><sup>b</sup></i>	°С)	$\alpha$ -T <sup>c</sup>	PG	0	100	200	$\mathbf{A}^{a}$	В	С	D	E
moisture <sup>f,g</sup>	3.69	3.78	3.61****	3.72	3.67	3.55	3.74	3.79****	1.96	4.67	2.46	6.09	3.29****
$a_{\mathrm{W}}^{g,j}$	0.283	0.283	0.284	0.285	0.281	0.276	0.285	0.289***	0.123	0.378	0.173	0.496	0.247****
$\Delta K_{232}^{f-h}$	7.17	6.72	7.63****	7.13	7.22	6.89	7.20	7.42****	6.39	7.41	6.90	8.07	7.10****
$\Delta K_{270}^{f-h}$	1.45	1.21	1.70****	1.43	1.47	1.24	1.50	1.61****	1.37	1.47	1.45	1.51	1.45**
$\Delta K_{303}^{f-h}$	0.89	0.69	1.10****	0.89	0.90	0.69	0.94	1.05****	0.85	0.89	0.88	0.92	0.91
$\Delta \alpha$ -CE <sup>f,g,i,j</sup>	12.40	9.12	15.67****	12.99	11.81**	11.95	12.25	12.99	4.67	10.63	8.19	24.33	14.18****
$\Delta 7\beta$ -HC <sup>f-h</sup>	30.80	24.50	37.10****	32.56	29.03***	31.63	30.16	30.60	12.77	27.44	18.94	55.86	38.97****
$\Delta \mathrm{CT}^{\mathrm{f},\mathrm{g},j}$	6.14	4.87	7.42****	6.52	5.76**	6.07	5.81	6.54	2.06	6.63	3.49	11.99	6.55****
$\Delta$ 7-KC <sup>e-g,j</sup>	23.31	18.54	28.08****	24.09	22.53	22.73	24.11	23.09	10.35	24.31	18.30	38.27	25.33****
$\Delta 25$ -HC <sup>f,g,j</sup>	1.74	1.54	1.93****	1.82	1.66**	1.74	1.69	1.78	1.12	1.55	1.30	2.67	$2.05^{****}$
color loss <sup>e,g,h</sup>	15.72	14.84	16.60****	15.77	15.67	16.29	15.61	15.26**	12.02	16.37	14.83	19.51	15.87****
$MBI^{f-h}$	0.052	0.050	0.054**	0.053	0.051	0.050	0.053	0.052*	0.043	0.048	0.053	0.053	0.061****

<sup>*a*</sup> See abbreviations and units of measure for responses in Table 1. <sup>*b*</sup> (Inlet temperature – outlet temperature, °C). <sup>*c*</sup> AP +  $\alpha$ -T, ascorbyl palmitate + dI- $\alpha$ -tocopherol; PG, propyl gallate. <sup>*d*</sup> A, 0 months; B (5 months) and D (10 months), non-vacuum-packed and light-exposed; C (5 months) and E (10 months), vacuum-packed and non-light-exposed. <sup>*e*</sup> Interaction of spray-drying temperature × antioxidant type significant at  $P \le 0.05$ . <sup>*i*</sup> Interaction of spray-drying temperature × antioxidant concentration significant at  $P \le 0.05$ . <sup>*i*</sup> Interaction of antioxidant type × antioxid

Table 4. P Values Obtained from MANOVA (n = 96, Results from Initial Point Were Excluded) for Factors and Interactions That Have a Significant Effect on Responses

						respo	onses <sup>a</sup>					
factor	moisture	$a_{\mathrm{W}}$	$\Delta K_{232}$	$\Delta K_{270}$	$\Delta K_{303}$	Δα-CE	$\Delta 7\beta$ -HC	$\Delta CT$	∆7-KC	∆25-HC	color loss	MBI
spray-drying temp (A) antioxidant type (B) antioxidant concn (C) storage time (D) packing conditions (E) interactions	0.0123 <sup>b</sup> NS <sup>c</sup> 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000	0.0386 NS 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0000 \\ \mathrm{AS}^{d} \\ 0.0000 \\ 0.0000 \\ 0.0000 \end{array}$	0.0000 0.0414 0.0000 NS NS	0.0000 NS 0.0000 NS NS	0.0000 0.0308 NS 0.0000 0.0000	0.0000 0.0004 NS 0.0000 0.0000	0.0000 0.0104 NS 0.0000 0.0000	0.0000 NS NS 0.0000 0.0000	0.0000 0.0050 NS 0.0000 0.0000	0.0000 NS 0.0056 0.0000 0.0120	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0006\\ 0.0369\\ 0.0074\\ 0.0000\\ 0.0000\end{array}$
	0.0435 0.0000 NS NS NS NS NS 0.0131 0.0000	NS AS NS NS NS NS AS 0.0205 0.0000	NS 0.0001 NS 0.0053 0.0030 NS NS NS NS 0.0038	NS 0.0000 0.0017 NS 0.0006 NS NS NS NS NS	NS 0.0000 0.0015 NS 0.0044 NS NS NS NS NS	NS 0.0000 NS 0.0028 NS 0.0112 0.0003 0.0398 0.0000	NS 0.0000 NS 0.0118 0.0274 NS NS NS AS 0.0002	NS 0.0034 NS 0.0012 NS NS NS AS 0.0415 0.0011	AS 0.0414 NS 0.0324 NS NS NS NS NS 0.0064	NS AS NS NS NS NS 0.0257 AS 0.0048	0.0120 NS 0.0000 AS NS NS NS NS NS 0.0004	NS 0.0016 NS 0.0004 0.0040 NS NS NS NS NS

<sup>*a*</sup> See Table 1 for abbreviations. <sup>*b*</sup> Significance level (*P* value). <sup>*c*</sup> Nonsignificant. <sup>*d*</sup> Almost significant ( $P \le 0.1$ ).

storage time influenced these responses (influence of interaction was not significant; Table 4). Samples obtained at high spray-drying temperatures initially showed lower moisture and  $a_W$ . During storage, these samples sorbed more water than samples produced at low temperatures and consequently  $a_W$  increased more, since sorbed water is freer.

 $\Delta K_{232}$ ,  $\Delta K_{270}$ , and  $\Delta K_{303}$ . Factors that significantly influenced these responses were spray-drying temperature, antioxidant concentration, and storage time and packing conditions (Tables 3 and 4). Increase of  $K_{\lambda}$ mostly occurred during spray-drying operation and was greater for samples produced at high spray-drying temperatures (Table 3). However, spray-drying temperature did not differentiate the evolution of  $\Delta K_{\lambda}$ during storage (data not shown in Table 3). These responses ( $\Delta K_{\lambda}$ ) increased during storage, and they were greater for non-vacuum-packed and light-exposed samples (Table 3; nonsignificant for  $\Delta K_{303}$ ). Thus, oxidative degradation of egg powder was facilitated by high processing temperatures, long periods of storage, exposure to light, and non-vacuum-packing. Increase of these responses with antioxidant concentration was due, at least in part, to absorbance of these antioxidants in the UV region.

**OS Formation.** Since formation of determined OS was strongly correlated, factors that influenced their formation were the same. Spray-drying temperature, storage time, and packing conditions influenced OS formation (Tables 3 and 4). OS formation increased with spray-drying temperature and during storage (Table 3). OS formation during storage was greater for samples obtained at higher processing temperatures (data not shown in Table 3). Interaction between storage time and packing conditions significantly influenced OS formation, since OS formation during storage was clearly greater for non-vacuum-packed and light-exposed samples (Table 3). This confirms that packing methods which reduce oxygen availability (Chan et al.,



**Figure 3.** Influence of type and concentration of antioxidant on OS formation: ( $\blacktriangle$ ) AP +  $\alpha$ -T; ( $\blacksquare$ ) PG.

1993) and darkness (Addis et al., 1996; Fontana et al., 1993) prevent cholesterol oxidation in foods.

PG prevented OS formation (Tables 3 and 4; nonsignificant for 7-KC). Although interaction between type and concentration of antioxidant was only significant for  $7\beta$ -HC formation (Tables 3 and 4), Figure 3 (data not shown in Table 3) shows the effect of this interaction on formation of different OS determined. PG may have



**Figure 4.** Influence of spray-drying temperature and antioxidant concentration on OS formation: (▲) TA (170–117 °C); (■) TB (225–140 °C).

a slight effect in preventing OS formation, whereas synergistic combination of AP plus  $\alpha$ -T seemed to show a slight prooxidant effect at 100 and 200 ppm (parts per million in liquid egg). PG concentration of 100 ppm seemed to be optimal in preventing OS formation. This agrees with the fact that gallates show optimum concentrations and may act as prooxidants at high levels (Madhavi et al., 1996). In addition, Morgan and Armstrong (1987) showed effectiveness of PG, BHA, and BHT in preventing hydrogen peroxide-induced cholesterol 5,6-epoxide formation during spray-drying of egg and PG was slightly more effective at 67 ppm than at 200 ppm (parts per million in yolk solids). However, high intrinsic vitamin E concentrations of egg (up to 500 ppm in solids), modified by dietary supplementation, prevented cholesterol oxidation during egg powder storage (Wahle et al., 1993). In addition, Huber et al. (1995) showed that AP (230 ppm in lipids), BHA (100 ppm), and a tocopherol blend (230 ppm) were effective



**Figure 5.** Influence of spray-drying temperature and type and concentration of antioxidant on OS formation: ( $\blacktriangle$ ) TA (170–117 °C); ( $\blacksquare$ ) TB (225–140 °C).

in preventing OS formation during accelerated storage of spray-dried egg yolk (Cu<sup>2+</sup> catalyzed, 60 °C). Lai et al. (1995b) showed that rosemary oleoresin (500 ppm in lipids) is effective in preventing nitrogen oxideinduced OS formation during spray-drying and storage of egg powder. Interaction between spray-drying temperature and antioxidant concentration affected OS formation (Tables 3 and 4), which indicates that antioxidants were only effective at high temperatures (Figure 4, data not shown in Table 3). Although interactions higher than order 2 were ignored in the statistical treatment, Figure 5 (data not shown in Table 3) shows the influence of an order 3 interaction (spraydrying  $\times$  antioxidant type  $\times$  concentration of antioxidant) on OS formation. PG was the only antioxidant clearly effective at high spray-drying temperatures, whereas at low temperatures PG seemed to show a prooxidant effect to a lesser extent than AP +  $\alpha$ -T.

Interaction between spray-drying temperature and packing conditions influenced OS formation, so that the higher the spray-drying temperature, the greater OS formation was, especially when samples were nonvacuum-packed (Figure 6; data not shown in Table 3). Effect of this interaction on 25-HC was not significant (Table 4).

**Color Loss.** This response increased with spraydrying temperature, storage time, non-vacuum packing, and light exposure and decreased with antioxidant concentration (Table 3). Interaction between antioxidant type and spray-drying temperature had a significant influence on this response (Figure 7; data not shown in Table 3), since PG prevented color loss more effectively through spray-drying and storage at high spray-drying temperatures, whereas a synergistic combination of AP and  $\alpha$ -T prevented this loss more effectively at low spray-drying temperatures. As Figure



**Figure 6.** Influence of spray-drying temperature and packing conditions on OS formation: ( $\blacktriangle$ ) nonvacuum and light; ( $\blacksquare$ ) vacuum and nonlight.

8 shows (data not shown in Table 3), interaction between spray-drying temperature and storage time had a significant influence on color loss. Egg powder produced at high temperatures lost color more easily through storage. Figure 9 (data not shown in Table 3) shows the effect of the interaction between type and concentration of antioxidant. PG was more effective in preventing color loss at 200 ppm, whereas combination of AP plus  $\alpha$ -T was more effective at 100 ppm. This could be related to the prooxidant effect of  $\alpha$ -T at high concentrations (Madhavi et al., 1996).

**MBI.** MBI was dependent on spray-drying temperature, storage time, and packing conditions (Tables 3 and 4). This response increased with spray-drying



**Figure 7.** Infuence of spray-drying temperature and antioxidant type on color loss: (**a**)  $AP + \alpha$ -T; (**b**) PG.



**Figure 8.** Influence of spray-drying temperature and storage time on color loss: (▲) TA (170–117 °C); (■) TB (225–140 °C).



**Figure 9.** Influence of type and concentration of antioxidant on color loss: (**A**) AP +  $\alpha$ -T; (**D**) PG.

temperature and storage time and was higher when samples were vacuum-packed and not light-exposed (Table 3), which disagrees with the fact that the presence of oxygen does not modify or exceptionally increase Maillard browning and that Maillard browning increases with  $a_W$  up to values of 0.5-0.8 (Adrian, 1986; Ames, 1990). Thus, explanation of these results should be related to light, but a recent study conducted by Solomon et al. (1995) showed no effect of light on Maillard browning in orange juice.

**C20:4***n***-6** and **C22:6***n***-3** Loss. These responses were only studied in samples stored for 10 months, and they were dependent on spray-drying temperature, packing conditions, and antioxidant type (Table 5). PUFA loss during spray-drying and storage was greater when powdered egg was produced at high temperatures. It seems that PUFA loss occurs mostly during spray-drying (Guardiola et al., 1995a). These responses were very highly correlated with fat UV absorptions, which increased markedly during spray-drying and to a lesser extent during storage for 5 and 10 months (Table 3), which supports the fact that PUFA loss occurs mostly during spray-drying. PUFA loss was effectively pre-

 Table 5.
 Least-Squares Grand Mean (Global Mean) and Least-Squares Means As Influenced by Factors for

 Polyunsaturated Fatty Acid (PUFA) Losses in Egg Powder Samples Stored for 10 Months [P Values for Factors and

 Their Interactions That Have a Significant Effect on PUFA Losses Were Obtained from MANOVA (n = 48)]

				egg powo	ler					
	global mean	spray-dry (n=	ing temp 24)	antioxid type (n=	ant = 24)	antic (pp	oxidant o m) ( <i>n</i> =	concn 16)	packing ( <i>n</i>	(= 24)
response <sup>a</sup>	(n = 48)	(170–117 °C) <sup>b</sup>	(225–140 °C)	$AP + \alpha - T^c$	PG	0	100	200	$\mathbf{A}^d$	В
C20:4 $n$ -6 loss C22:6 $n$ -3 loss <sup>e</sup>	1.58 1.99	1.01 1.29	2.14**** 2.70****	1.76 2.15	1.39* 1.84	1.46 1.77	1.44 1.95	1.83 2.26	1.89 2.37	1.26*** 1.61***

<sup>*a*</sup> See units of measure for responses in Table 1. <sup>*b*</sup> (Inlet temperature – outlet temperature, °C). <sup>*c*</sup> AP +  $\alpha$ -T, ascorbyl palmitate + *dl*- $\alpha$ -tocopherol; PG, propyl gallate. <sup>*d*</sup> A, non-vacuum-packed and light-exposed; B, vacuum-packed and non-light-exposed. <sup>*e*</sup> Interaction of spray-drying temperature × antioxidant concentration significant at  $P \le 0.05$ . \*, Significant factor at  $P \le 0.05$  (\*\*,  $P \le 0.01$ ; \*\*\*\*,  $P \le 0.001$ ).



**Figure 10.** Influence of type and concentration of antioxidant on PUFA loss: (**A**) AP +  $\alpha$ -T; (**B**) PG.

vented by vacuum packing and darkness. PG prevented PUFA loss (Table 5; nonsignificant for C22:6n-3). Although interaction between type and concentration of antioxidant was not significant, Figure 10 (data not shown in Table 5) shows the effect of this interaction on PUFA loss. PG seemed to be slightly effective in preventing PUFA loss at 100 ppm, whereas synergistic combination of AP plus  $\alpha$ -T seemed to show a slight prooxidant effect at 100 and 200 ppm. Prooxidant effect of tocopherols at high concentrations in terms of FA oxidation has been previously reported (Huang et al., 1994, 1995; Mukai et al., 1993; Mukai and Okouchi, 1989; Satué et al., 1995; Jung and Min, 1990). α-Tocopherol showed maximum antioxidant activity in various oils at 100 ppm (Huang et al., 1994; Jung and Min, 1990). Husain et al. (1987) showed prooxidant effect at 54 ppm in an aqueous model with linolenic and  $\alpha$ -tocopherol. Prooxidant effect of AP in phospholipid vesicles has been reported (Fukazawa et al., 1993; Yin, et al., 1993). In addition, in terms of TBA value, ascorbic acid at different concentrations (30, 200, and 2000 ppm) showed prooxidant or antioxidant activity in oyster homogenate and ground fish depending on processing and storage conditions (Hatate and Kochi, 1992; Ramanathan and Das, 1992). However, a prooxidant effect of AP plus  $\alpha$ -T has not been reported before, and this raises the question of how sample matrix and processing and storage conditions could influence antioxidant activity of this combination. Figure 11 (data not shown in Table 5) shows the influence of an order 3 interaction (spray-drying  $\times$  antioxidant type  $\times$  concentration of antioxidant) on PUFA loss. This figure shows that PG seemed to be effective in preventing PUFA loss at high spray-drying temperatures, whereas at low temperatures PG showed lower prooxidant effect than AP +  $\alpha$ -T.

In conclusion, low spray-drying temperatures prevented oxidation during processing and storage of egg powder. Vacuum packing and darkness were very effective in protecting egg powder against oxidation during storage. PG seemed to be slightly effective in preventing PUFA loss, OS formation, and color loss during processing and storage, whereas synergistic combination of AP plus  $\alpha$ -T seemed to show a slight prooxidant effect in terms of FA and cholesterol oxidation. PG concentrations of 100 and 200 ppm seemed to be optimal to prevent, respectively, PUFA loss and OS formation and color loss. PG seemed to be more effective under highly oxidative conditions (high spraydrying temperatures). Extrapolation of these conclusions to commercial practice must take into consideration that this study was conducted starting with frozen egg and stressing oxidative conditions during spraydrying (high outlet spray-drying temperatures). In addition, the effect of egg variability was not considered in this study since only one starting frozen egg sample was used.

From multiple-regression equations and their regression coefficients (Tables 6-8) the influence of quantitative factors on responses is described and response surfaces can be drawn to optimize experimental conditions for egg powder production and storage. As Tables 6 and 7 show, most equations presented high multipledetermination coefficients  $(r^2)$  and *F* ratio, which means that fitted equations accounted for a significant proportion of total variation in response. Fitted equations for MBI explained a low proportion of response variation. Fitted equations for  $a_W$  and moisture when samples were non-vacuum-packed and light-exposed showed much higher  $r^2$  and F ratio than the other responses. In relation to PUFA loss, fitted equations showed lower  $r^2$  and F ratio than for the other responses (Table 8). This was due to the fact that storage time was not studied and that a smaller sample size was used (n =12, only samples stored for 10 months).

For example, to show the meaning of the regression coefficients, fitted equations for moisture when samples were non-vacuum-packed and light-exposed will be used (Table 6). From these regression coefficients it could be concluded that most of the variation for this response under these conditions was explained by storage time.

ated	
alcul	
ons C	
quati	
on E	
gressi	
e Reg	
ultipl	
or M	
atio f	
dFR	
), and	
tts (r²	
ficier	
Coef	
ation	
ermin	
Dete	
ltipl€	
el, Mu d <sup>a</sup>	
Leve Leve	
cance ht-Ex	
gnifid d Lig	
eir Si ed an	
th Th Pack	
B) wit	
ents () n-Vac	
officie s No	
n Coe ample	
essio om Sé	
Regr tta fro	
le 6. ng Dí	
Tab Usi	

ole 6. ng D	Regression Co ata from Samp	oefficients (B) w les Non-Vacuun	⁄ith Their Sig n-Packed and	nificance Lev Light-Expose	el, Multiple Do	etermination	Coefficients (r	<sup>2</sup> ), and F Rat	io for Multiple	e Regression I	Equations Cal	culated
oeff	moisture	aw	$\Delta \mathrm{K}_{232}$	$\Delta K_{270}$	$\Delta \mathrm{K}_{303}$	Δα-CE	$\Delta 7\beta$ -HC	ΔCT	Δ7-KC	$\Delta 25$ -HC	color loss	MBI
					Ascorbyl Palmi	itate + dl- $\alpha$ -Too	copherol $(n = 36)$					
0	4.6783****	0.3807****	7.3016****	$1.5105^{****}$	0.9578****	$11.1682^{****}$	29.5045***	7.3954****	25.5888****	$1.6297^{****}$	$15.9826^{****}$	0.0512****
1	$-0.1339^{***}$	q	$0.4930^{****}$	$0.2303^{****}$	$0.1841^{****}$	$3.7722^{****}$	$6.8321^{****}$	$1.6344^{****}$	$6.1737^{****}$	$0.1859^{***}$	$1.5879^{***}$	0.0039***
5	$0.0870^{*}$	$0.0052^{**}$	$0.2196^{***}$	$0.1426^{****}$	$0.1552^{****}$	$1.6329^{***}$	q	$0.8708^{**}$	q	$0.1500^{**}$	q	q
ŝ	$2.0400^{****}$	$0.1860^{****}$	$0.7848^{****}$	$0.0694^{**}$	p	$10.7378^{****}$	$22.7913^{****}$	$5.2944^{****}$	$15.1014^{****}$	$0.8113^{****}$	$3.8272^{****}$	p
22	q	p	p	-0.1095	$-0.1068^{**}$	p	q	q	p	q	p	$-0.0085^{***}$
33	$-0.6225^{****}$	$-0.0675^{****}$	þ	p	q	$4.6481^{****}$	$6.9963^{***}$	p	q	$0.3266^{***}$	p	0.0086***
12	$-0.1996^{****}$	$-0.0046^{**}$	$-0.3504^{****}$	$-0.1020^{****}$	$-0.0911^{****}$	$-2.1401^{****}$	$-5.6286^{****}$	þ	p	$-0.1867^{***}$	þ	p
13	p	$0.0044^{**}$	$0.1723^{***}$	þ	p	$2.2625^{****}$	$4.3425^{****}$	$0.7310^{*}$	$3.3109^{**}$	þ	$0.8659^{***}$	$0.0035^{**}$
23	p	p	p	þ	q	$1.6925^{**}$	p	$0.9088^{*}$	q	þ	p	p
2	0.9868	0.9970	0.9160	0.8423	0.8303	0.9469	0.9426	0.8716	0.8424	0.8585	0.8729	0.5156
ratio	$403.42^{****}$	$1810.13^{****}$	$65.42^{****}$	32.06****	37.93****	71.27****	$98.44^{****}$	40.74***	$56.99^{****}$	$36.40^{****}$	73.27****	8.25****
					Pro	pyl Gallate (n =	= 36)					
0	$4.6617^{****}$	$0.3762^{****}$	7.2779****	$1.4632^{****}$	$0.8874^{****}$	8.6922****	$25.3684^{****}$	$5.6154^{****}$	23.0305****	$1.4699^{****}$	$15.9497^{****}$	$0.0406^{****}$
21	$-0.0954^{****}$	q	$0.5372^{****}$	$0.2672^{****}$	$0.2193^{***}$	$3.2551^{****}$	$6.0868^{****}$	$1.3429^{****}$	$3.8383^{***}$	$0.1855^{***}$	$0.9859^{***}$	$0.0025^{*}$
22	$0.0491^{*}$	q	$0.3005^{***}$	$0.2164^{****}$	$0.1969^{***}$	p	$-2.8431^{**}$	q	p	p	p	p
ŝ	2.0797****	$0.1844^{****}$	$0.8911^{***}$	$0.0727^{***}$	$0.0497^{**}$	8.9157****	20.3027****	$4.6355^{****}$	$12.8119^{****}$	$0.7380^{****}$	$3.6697^{****}$	p
322	p	p	р	p	p	$2.0885^{*}$	p	$1.1601^{*}$	p	р	p	р
333	$-0.6622^{****}$	$-0.0684^{****}$	p	þ	q	$3.0956^{***}$	$6.7623^{***}$	p	q	$0.3572^{***}$	þ	$0.0121^{****}$
<b>b</b> 12	$-0.2376^{****}$	$-0.0048^{**}$	$-0.3172^{****}$	$-0.0970^{****}$	$-0.0989^{***}$	$-2.2678^{****}$	$-5.6100^{****}$	p	q	þ	$-0.7730^{**}$	$-0.0038^{**}$
3 <sub>13</sub>	$0.1022^{****}$	0.0077****	p	þ	þ	$2.5683^{****}$	$4.2120^{***}$	$1.1084^{***}$	$3.4623^{**}$	$0.1780^{***}$	$0.6100^{*}$	p
323	q	q	p	p	q	$1.4204^{*}$	p	p	q	p	p	p
5	0.9960	0.9968	0.8798	0.8950	0.9093	0.9165	0.9252	0.8473	0.7860	0.8451	0.8280	0.4524
ratio	$1115.33^{****}$	2242.25****	56.73****	$66.05^{****}$	77.65****	$43.91^{****}$	59.77****	$43.00^{***}$	$39.18^{****}$	42.27****	37.30****	8.81****
<sup>a</sup> See ]	able 1 for abbre	viations. <sup>b</sup> Nonsig	gnificant effect.	*, Significant $\epsilon$	effect at $P \leq 0.1$	0 (**, $P \le 0.05$	; ***, $P \leq 0.01$ ;	****, P ≤ 0.00	1).			

Table 7. Using D	Regression ( ata from Sam	Coefficients (E ples Vacuum-	3) with Their 5 Packed and No	Significance Le on-Light- Expe	evel, Multiple sed <sup>a</sup>	Determinatio	n Coefficients	$(r^2)$ , and F Ra	tio for Multip	le Regression	Equations Cal	culated
coeff	moisture	aw	$\Delta \mathrm{K}_{232}$	$\Delta K_{270}$	$\Delta \mathrm{K}_{303}$	Δα-CE	$\Delta 7\beta$ -HC	$\Delta CT$	$\Delta$ 7-KC	$\Delta 25$ -HC	color loss	MBI
					Ascorbyl Pali	mitate + dl- $\alpha$ -T	ocopherol (n =	36)				
$\mathbf{B}_0$	$2.5988^{****}$	$0.1741^{****}$	$6.9603^{****}$	$1.5292^{****}$	$0.9961^{****}$	$9.0702^{****}$	$19.7669^{****}$	$3.6250^{****}$	$18.0624^{***}$	$1.3513^{****}$	$14.3364^{****}$	$0.0512^{****}$
$B_1$	$-0.1035^{***}$	p	$0.3567^{****}$	$0.2128^{****}$	$0.1835^{****}$	$2.4974^{****}$	$4.7403^{****}$	$0.8015^{****}$	$4.0501^{****}$	$0.1587^{***}$	$0.6600^{***}$	þ
$\mathrm{B}_2^{2}$	$0.2123^{****}$	$0.0128^{**}$	$0.1579^{***}$	$0.1401^{****}$	$0.1501^{****}$	p	p	p	p	p	$-0.4858^{**}$	p
B3	$0.6148^{***}$	$0.0600^{****}$	$0.2234^{***}$	p	þ	$4.3404^{****}$	$13.4053^{****}$	$2.3107^{****}$	$7.4404^{****}$	$0.5220^{***}$	$2.0101^{****}$	$0.0044^{***}$
$\mathrm{B}_{22}$	$-0.1419^{*}$	q	$-0.3416^{***}$	$-0.1919^{****}$	$-0.1773^{****}$	þ	p	q	þ	p	$0.8500^{**}$	$-0.0038^{*}$
$B_{33}$	$0.1894^{**}$	$0.0145^{**}$	q	p	þ	þ	7.3478****	$0.8991^{***}$	þ	$0.3158^{***}$	$-0.9346^{**}$	0.0085****
$B_{12}$	$-0.0877^{*}$	p	$-0.1043^{*}$	$-0.0538^{**}$	$-0.0575^{***}$	$-0.8889^{**}$	$-1.9248^{**}$	$-0.4037^{**}$	þ	p	$0.5449^{****}$	$-0.0022^{*}$
$B_{13}$	þ	p	q	p	þ	þ	$0.3818^{**}$	þ	þ	p	þ	
$B_{23}$	q	$0.0119^{**}$	$-0.1236^{*}$	p	p	p	p	p	p	p	p	p
$\Gamma^2$	0.9030	0.9176	0.7819	0.8732	0.8783	0.8515	0.9225	0.8992	0.7962	0.7341	0.7979	0.5177
F ratio	$40.34^{****}$	77.91****	$17.33^{****}$	53.35****	$55.95^{****}$	$61.16^{***}$	$92.29^{****}$	53.50***	$64.44^{****}$	$29.45^{****}$	$19.09^{****}$	8.32****
					Ч	ropyl Gallate (n	1 = 36)					
$\mathrm{B}_0$	$2.4108^{****}$	$0.1728^{****}$	$6.9641^{****}$	$1.4962^{****}$	$0.8824^{****}$	7.9930****	$18.161^{***}$	$3.3477^{****}$	$17.9212^{****}$	$1.2553^{***}$	$14.7558^{****}$	$0.0477^{****}$
$B_1$	p	p	$0.3848^{****}$	$0.2561^{****}$	$0.2290^{****}$	$2.1500^{****}$	$4.5472^{****}$	$0.6573^{****}$	$2.3755^{**}$	$0.1393^{****}$	p	þ
$\mathrm{B}_2$	$0.1825^{****}$	$0.0077^{**}$	$0.4100^{****}$	$0.2487^{****}$	$0.2140^{****}$	þ	p	p	p	p	$-0.9326^{****}$	þ
$\mathrm{B}_3$	$0.6358^{****}$	$0.0605^{****}$	$0.4846^{***}$	$0.0552^{**}$	$0.0465^{**}$	$5.1673^{****}$	$12.7937^{****}$	$2.1759^{****}$	7.5320****	$0.4072^{****}$	$1.8430^{****}$	$0.0029^{**}$
$\mathbf{B}_{22}$	p	þ	q	p	þ	þ	p	þ	p	p	p	þ
$\mathrm{B}_{33}$	$0.2192^{***}$	$0.0114^{*}$	$-0.1605^{*}$	$-0.0710^{*}$	þ	$1.4387^{*}$	$6.5058^{****}$	$0.7815^{***}$	p	$0.2410^{***}$	$-0.8374^{**}$	$0.0091^{****}$
$B_{12}$	-0.0742*	þ	$-0.0944^{*}$	$-0.0678^{***}$	$-0.0693^{****}$	$-1.1927^{**}$	$-2.2875^{***}$	$-0.4515^{***}$	p	p	þ	$-0.0037^{***}$
$B_{13}$	$0.1225^{***}$	$0.0091^{**}$	$-0.1280^{**}$	þ	þ	þ	$2.1203^{***}$	$0.3918^{**}$	p	$0.1453^{***}$	þ	þ
$\mathrm{B}_{23}$	p	$0.0099^{**}$	$0.1238^{**}$	p	þ	þ	p	þ	p	$-0.0963^{*}$	p	þ
r2	0.9211	0.9160	0.9115	0.9256	0.9269	0.8592	0.9367	0.9044	0.6336	0.8208	0.7327	0.5231
F ratio	65.36****	61.03****	$41.18^{****}$	74.61****	98.22****	47.29****	88.83****	<b>56.79</b> ***	28.53****	27.49****	29.24***	11.70****

<sup>a</sup> See Table 1 for abbreviations. <sup>b</sup> Nonsignificant effect. \*, Significant effect at  $P \le 0.10$  (\*\*,  $P \le 0.05$ ; \*\*\*,  $P \le 0.01$ ; \*\*\*\*,  $P \le 0.001$ ).



**Figure 11.** Influence of spray-drying temperature and type and concentration of antioxidant on PUFA loss: ( $\blacktriangle$ ) TA (170–117 °C); ( $\blacksquare$ ) TB (225–140 °C).



Figure 12. Moisture as a function of storage time and outlet spray-drying temperature when propyl gallate was used (100 ppm) and samples were non-vacuum-packed and exposed to light.

For instance, the fitted equation when PG was used is

$$Y = 4.6617 - 0.0954X_1 + 0.0491X_2 + 2.0797X_3 - 0.6622X_3^2 - 0.2376X_1X_2 + 0.1022X_1X_3$$
(2)

This equation shows that the linear effect of storage time  $(B_3)$  on moisture was approximately 22 times greater than the linear effect of spray-drying temperature  $(B_1)$  and 42 times greater than the linear effect of antioxidant concentration  $(B_2)$ . The positive sign of  $B_3$  means that moisture increased during storage time, and the negative sign of  $B_1$  means that egg powder obtained had less moisture as higher was spray-drying temperature. The constant term ( $B_0$ ) for this equation was highly significant (Table 6). In addition to the linear term of storage time ( $B_3$ ), the quadratic term ( $B_{33}$ ) was also highly significant, which means that the increase of moisture during storage followed a curve instead of a straight line; the negative sign of  $B_{33}$  means that the curve was convex. Interaction terms  $B_{12}$  and  $B_{13}$  were also significant. The negative sign of  $B_{12}$ means that at a low level of spray-drying temperature

Table 8. Regression Coefficients (*B*) with Their Significance Level, Multiple Determination Coefficients ( $r^2$ ), and *F* Ratio for Multiple Regression Equations Corresponding to C20:4n-6 and C22:6n-3 Loss

	nor	n-vacuum- pack	ed and light-exp	osed	va	cuum-packed a	nd non-light-exp	osed
	$AP + \alpha - T \epsilon$	n = 12	PG (r	n = 12)	$AP + \alpha$ -7	(n = 12)	PG (n	n = 12)
coeff	C20:4 <i>n</i> -6 loss	C22:6 <i>n</i> -3 loss	C20:4 <i>n</i> -6 loss	C22:6 <i>n</i> -3 loss	C20:4 <i>n</i> -6 loss	C22:6 <i>n</i> -3 loss	C20:4 <i>n</i> -6 loss	C22:6 <i>n</i> -3 loss
B <sub>0</sub>	2.1131****	2.6228****	1.6725****	2.1216****	1.4079****	1.6725****	1.1057****	1.5511****
$B_1$	0.8378***	0.8058**	0.5978***	0.8278***	0.3907**	0.4857**	0.4330***	0.7033***
$B_2$	b	b	b	b	b	b	b	b
$B_{22}$	b	b	b	b	b	b	b	b
$B_{12}$	b	b	b	-0.4777*	b	b	b	b
$I^2$	0.5585	0.4975	0.5305	0.7247	0.4672	0.4851	0.5350	0.5736
F ratio	12.65***	9.90**	12.30***	11.85***	8.77**	9.42**	11.51***	13.45***

<sup>*a*</sup> AP +  $\alpha$ -T, ascorbyl palmitate + dl- $\alpha$ -tocopherol; PG, propyl gallate. <sup>*b*</sup> Nonsignificant effect. \*, Significant effect at  $P \le 0.10$  (\*\*,  $P \le 0.05$ ; \*\*\*\*,  $P \le 0.01$ ; \*\*\*\*,  $P \le 0.001$ ).

 $(X_1)$  moisture increased with antioxidant concentration  $(X_2)$  and the contrary at a high level of spray-drying temperature. The positive sign of  $B_{13}$  means that at low spray-drying temperatures  $(X_1)$  moisture increased less during storage  $(X_3)$  than at high spray-drying temperatures. High values of  $r^2$  (0.9960) and F ratio (111.33) showed the robustness of fitting.

From fitted eq 2 response surfaces can be drawn. Since response surface plots a response versus two factors, showing linear and quadratic effect of factors and their interaction, and three quantitative factors were studied, the two factors ( $X_1$  and  $X_3$ ) that more influenced moisture were chosen and three response surfaces were drawn corresponding to the different levels of the less influential factor ( $X_2$ ). Equations of these response surfaces are as follows:

when  $X_2 = -1$  (0 ppm)

$$Y = 4.6126 + 0.1422X_1 + 2.0797X_3 - 0.6622X_3^2 + 0.1022X_1X_3 \quad \text{or}$$

$$Z = 4.6126 + 2.0797X + 0.1422Y - 0.6622X^{2} + 0.1022XY (3)$$

when  $X_2 = 0$  (100 ppm)

$$Y = 4.6617 - 0.0954X_1 + 2.0797X_3 - 0.6622X_3^2 + 0.1022X_1X_3 \quad \text{or}$$

$$Z = 4.6617 + 2.0797X - 0.0954Y - 0.6622X^{2} + 0.1022XY$$
(4)

when  $X_2 = +1$  (200 ppm)

$$Y = 4.7108 - 0.3330X_1 + 2.0797X_3 - 0.6622X_3^2 + 0.1022X_1X_3 \quad \text{or}$$

$$Z = 4.7108 + 2.0797X - 0.3330Y - 0.6622X^{2} + 0.1022XY$$
(5)

By way of example, from eq 4 the response surface for moisture when PG was used (100 ppm) and samples were non-vacuum-packed and light-exposed can be drawn. Figure 12 shows that moisture slightly decreased with spray-drying temperature ( $B_1$  negative and significant) and markedly increased during storage following a convex curve ( $B_3$  positive and  $B_{33}$  negative, both significant). Also, the figure shows that moisture of freshly produced egg powder was greater when spraydrying temperature was low and that during storage the moisture became similar for both spray-drying temperatures ( $B_{13}$  positive and significant).

## NOMENCLATURE

Cholestanetriol (CT),  $5\alpha$ -cholestane- $3\beta$ ,5, $6\beta$ -triol; cholesterol, cholest-5-en- $3\beta$ -ol; cholesterol  $5\alpha$ , $6\alpha$ -epoxide ( $\alpha$ -CE), 5, $6\alpha$ -epoxy- $5\alpha$ -cholestan- $3\beta$ -ol;  $7\beta$ -hydroxycholesterol ( $7\beta$ -HC), cholest-5-ene- $3\beta$ , $7\beta$ -diol; 19-hydroxycholesterol, cholest-5-ene- $3\beta$ ,19-diol; 25-hydroxycholesterol (25-HC), cholest-5-ene- $3\beta$ ,25-diol; 7-ketocholesterol (7-KC),  $3\beta$ -hydroxycholest-5-en-7-one.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The food processing plant of the University of Humberside, School of Food, Fisheries and Environmental Studies (Grimsby, U.K.), was used to obtain dried egg samples.

#### LITERATURE CITED

- Addis, P. B.; Park, P. W.; Guardiola, F.; Codony, R. Analysis and health effects of cholesterol oxides. In *Food Lipids and Health*; McDonald, R. E., Min, D. B., Eds.; Dekker: New York, 1996.
- Adrian, J. The Maillard reaction. In *Handbook of Nutritive Value of Processed Food*; Rechcigl, M., Ed.; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, 1986.
- Ames, J. M. Control of the Maillard reaction in food systems. *Trends Food Sci. Technol.* **1990**, *1*, 150–154.
- Bergquist, D. H. Eggs. In Food Dehydration II. Products and Technology; Van Arsdell, W. B., Copley, M. J., Eds.; AVI Publishing: Westport, CT, 1964.
- Bergquist, D. H. Egg dehydration. In *Egg Science & Technology*; Stadelmam, W. J., Cotterill, O. J., Eds.; AVI Publishing: Westport, CT, 1977.
- Chan, S. H.; Gray, J. I.; Gomaa, E. A.; Harte, B. R.; Kelly, P. M.; Buckley, D. J. Cholesterol oxidation in whole milk powders as influenced by processing and packaging. *Food Chem.* **1993**, *47*, 321–328.
- Chow, C. K. Biological effects of oxidized fatty acids. In *Fatty Acids in Foods and Their Health Implications*, Chow, C. K., Ed.; Dekker: New York, 1992.
- Dall'Aglio, G.; Nicoli, M. C. Antioxidants in the food industry. *Ind. Conserv.* **1992**, *67*, 50–59.
- Dziezak, J. D. Preservatives: antioxidants. The ultimate answer to oxidation. *Food Technol.* **1986**, *9*, 94–102.
- Emanuel, H. A.; Hassel, C. A.; Addis, P. B.; Bergmann, S. D.; Zavoral, J. H. Plasma cholesterol oxidation products (oxysterols) in human subjects fed a meal rich in oxysterols. *J. Food Sci.* **1991**, *56*, 843–847.
- European Parliament. 95/2/CE Directive. Off. J. Eur. Communities 1995, L61 (18.3.95), 1-40.

- Fontana, A.; Antoniazzi, F.; Cimino, G.; Mazza, G.; Trivellone, E.; Zanone, B. High resolution NMR detection of cholesterol oxides in spray-dried egg yolk. *J. Food Sci.* **1992**, *57*, 869– 879.
- Fontana, A.; Antoniazzi, F.; Ciavatta, M. L.; Trivellone, E.; Cimino, G. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR study of cholesterol autooxidation in egg powder and cookies exposed to adverse storage. *J. Food Sci.* **1993**, *58*, 1286–1290.
- Gordon, M. H.; Kouřimská, L. Effect of antioxidants on losses of tocopherols during deep-fat frying. *Food Chem.* **1995a**, 175–177.
- Gordon, M. H.; Kouřimská, L. The effects of antioxidants on changes in oils during heating and deep frying. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* **1995b**, *68*, 347–353.
- Guardiola, F.; Codony, R.; Rafecas, M.; Boatella, J. Selective gas chromatographic determination of cholesterol in eggs. J. Am. Oil Chem. Soc. 1994a, 71, 867–871.
- Guardiola, F.; Codony, R.; Rafecas, M.; Boatella, J.; López, A. Fatty acid composition and nutritional value of fresh eggs, from large- and small-scale farms. *J. Food Compos. Anal.* **1994b**, *7*, 171–188.
- Guardiola, F.; Codony, R.; Rafecas, M.; Boatella, J. Stability of polyunsaturated fatty acids in egg powder processed and stored under various conditions. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **1995a**, *43*, 2254–2259.
- Guardiola, F.; Codony, R.; Miskin, D.; Rafecas, M.; Boatella, J. Oxysterol formation in egg powder and relationship with other quality parameters. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **1995b**, *43*, 1903–1907.
- Guardiola, F.; Codony, R.; Manich, A.; Rafecas, M.; Boatella, J. Comparison of three methods for the determination of oxysterols in spray-dried egg. J. Chromatogr. 1995c, 705, 289–304.
- Guardiola, F.; Codony, R.; Addis, P. B.; Rafecas, R.; Boatella, J. Biological effects of oxysterols: current status. *Food Chem. Toxicol.* **1996**, *34*, 193–211.
- Hatate, H.; Kochi, M. Effect of antioxidants on lipid oxidation in homogenate of Japanese oyster during storage at 4 and -25 degree. *Bull. Jpn. Soc. Sci. Fish.* **1992**, *58*, 2397.
- Huang, S. W.; Frankel, E. N.; German, J. B. Antioxidant activity of  $\alpha$  and  $\gamma$ -tocopherols in bulk oils and in oil-in-water emulsions. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **1994**, *42*, 2108–2114.
- Huang, S. W.; Frankel, E. N.; German, J. B. Effects of individual tocopherols and tocopherol mixtures on the oxidative stability of corn oil triglycerides. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **1995**, *43*, 2345–2350.
- Huber, K. C.; Pike, O. A.; Huber, C. S. Antioxidant inhibition of cholesterol oxidation in a spray-dried food system during accelerated storage. J. Food Sci. 1995, 60, 909–912, 916.
- Husain, S. R.; Cillard, J.; Cillard, P. α-Tocopherol prooxidant effect and malondialdehyde production. *J. Am. Oil Chem. Soc.* **1987**, *64*, 109–11.
- Jung, M. Y.; Min, D. B. Effects of  $\alpha$ -,  $\gamma$ -, and  $\delta$ -tocopherols on oxidative stability of soybean oil. *J. Food Sci.* **1990**, *55*, 1464–1465.
- Kubow, S. Toxicity of dietary lipid peroxidation products. Trends Food Sci. Technol. **1990**, 1, 67–71.
- Lai, S. M.; Gray, J. I.; Zabik, E. Evaluation of solid phase extraction and gas chromatography for determination of cholesterol oxidation products in spray-dried whole egg. J. Agric. Food Chem. 1995a, 43, 1122–1126.
- Lai, S. M.; Gray, J. I.; Buckley, D. J.; Kelly, P. M. Influence of free radicals and other factors on formation of cholesterol oxidation products in spray-dried whole egg. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **1995b**, *43*, 1127–1131.
- Madhavi, D. L.; Singhal, R. S.; Kulkarni, P. R. Technological aspects of food antioxidants. In *Food Antioxidants: Technological, Toxicological, and Health Perspectives*; Madhavi, D. L., Deshpande, S. S., Salunkhe, D. K., Eds.; Dekker: New York, 1995.

- Missler, S. R.; Wasilchuk, B. A.; Merritt, C. Separation and identification of cholesterol oxidation products in dried egg preparations. J. Food Sci. 1985, 50, 595–598, 646.
- Morgan, J. N.; Armstrong, D. J. Formation of cholesterol-5,6epoxides during spray-drying of egg yolk. *J. Food Sci.* **1987**, *52*, 1224–1227.
- Morgan, J. N.; Armstrong, D. J. Wide-bore capillary gas chromatographic method for quantification of cholesterol oxidation products in egg yolk powder. *J. Food Sci.* **1989**, *54*, 427–429, 457.
- Morgan, J. N.; Armstrong, D. J. Quantification of cholesterol oxidation products in egg yolk powder spray-dried with direct heating. *J. Food Sci.* **1992**, *57*, 43–45, 107.
- Mukai, K.; Okauchi, Y. Kinetic study of the reaction between tocopheroxyl radical and unsaturated fatty acid esters in benzene. *Lipids* **1989**, 936–939.
- Mukai, K.; Sawada, K.; Kohno, Y.; Terao, J. Kinetic study of the prooxidant effect of tocopherol. Hydrogen abstraction from lipid hydroperoxides by tocopheroxyls in solution. *Lipids* **1993**, 747–752.
- Nourooz-Zadeh, J. Determination of the autoxidation products from free or total cholesterol: a new multistep enrichment methodology including the enzymatic release of sterified cholesterol. J. Agric. Food Chem. **1990**, *38*, 1667–1673.
- Nourooz-Zadeh, J.; Appelqvist, L-Å. Cholesterol oxides in Swedish foods and food ingredients: fresh eggs and dehydrated egg products. J. Food Sci. **1987**, 52, 57–62, 67.
- Park, S. W.; Addis, P. B. Further investigation of oxidized cholesterol derivatives in heated fats. J. Food Sci. 1986, 51, 1380–1381.
- Peng, K. C. *The Design and Analysis of Scientific Experiments*; Addison-Wesley Publishing: Reading, U.K., 1967.
- Ramanathan, L.; Das, N. P. Studies on the control of lipid oxidation in ground fish by some poliphenolic natural products. J. Agric. Food Chem. 1992, 40, 17–21.
- Satué, M. T.; Huang, S. W.; Frankel, E. N. Effect of natural antioxidants in virgin olive oil on oxidative stability of refined, bleached, and deodorized olive oil. *J. Am. Oil Chem. Soc.* **1995**, *72*, 1131–1137.
- Smith, L. L.; Johnson, B. H. Biological activities of oxysterols. *Free Radical Biol. Med.* **1989**, *7*, 285–332.
- Solomon, O.; Svanberg, U.; Sahlström, A. Effect of oxygen and fluorescent light on the quality of orange juice during storage at 8 °C. *Food Chem.* **1995**, *53*, 363–368.
- Sugino, K.; Terao, J.; Murakami, H.; Matsushita, S. Highperformance liquid chromatographic method for the quantification of cholesterol epoxides in spray-dried egg. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **1986**, *34*, 36–39.
- Tsai, L. S.; Hudson, C. A. Cholesterol oxides in commercial dry egg products: isolation and identification. *J. Food Sci.* **1984**, *49*, 1245–1248.
- Tsai, L. S.; Hudson, C. A. Cholesterol oxides in commercial dry egg products: quantitation. *J. Food Sci.* **1985**, *50*, 229–231, 237.
- Wahle, K. W. J.; Hoppe, P. P.; McIntosh, G. Effects of storage and various intrinsic vitamin E concentrations on lipid oxidation in dried egg pwders. J. Sci. Food Agric. 1993, 61, 463–469.

Received for review October 21, 1996. Revised manuscript received March 4, 1997. Accepted March 12, 1997.<sup>®</sup> This work was supported in part by a research grant from Comissió Interdepartamental de Recerca i Innovació Tecnològica (CIRIT). JF960800M

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>®</sup> Abstract published in *Advance ACS Abstracts,* May 1, 1997.